

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,229	07/09/2003	Satoshi Itoi	Q76472	8401
²³³⁷³ SUGHRUE MI	7590 05/04/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			LEE, Y YOUNG	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2621	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/615,229	ITOI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Y. Lee	2621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAIS is sons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ma. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2,7-12 and 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	on Papers					
10) 🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
2) D Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/9/03</u> .	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 3-6, 13, and 14 in the reply filed on 3/19/07 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 7-12, and 15-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3/19/07.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Priority

4. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "Stereoscopic Image Encoding and Decoding Device Multiplexing High Resolution Added Images".

Application/Control Number: 10/615,229

Art Unit: 2621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 3

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the high resolution plane images" in lines 3 and 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haskell et al (6,055,012).

Haskell et al, in Figures 1-7, 13, and 15, discloses a digital multi-view video compression system that is the same stereoscopic image encoding and decoding device as specified in claim 3 of the present invention, comprising a stereoscopic image encoding device and a stereoscopic image decoding device (Fig. 1), wherein the stereoscopic image encoding device includes a stereoscopic image pre-processor 120 for multiplexing left-eye images (e.g. V1), right-eye images (e.g. V3), and high resolution added images (e.g. V2 and V4) for turning the left-eye images or the right-eye images to

Application/Control Number: 10/615,229

Art Unit: 2621

the images of high resolution and forming one image (Fig. 4), and an image encoding unit 130 for encoding the one image processed by the stereoscopic image preprocessor 120, while the stereoscopic image decoding device includes an image decoding unit 135 for decoding the one image encoded by the image encoding unit 130, and a stereoscopic image post-processor 145 for separating the one image decoded by the image decoding unit 135 into the left-eye images V1, the right-eye images V3, and the high resolution added images (e.g. V2 and V4) for turning the left-eye images or the right-eye images to the images of high resolution.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,229

Art Unit: 2621

13. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haskell et al.

Page 5

Although Haskell et al discloses the left-eye images, the right-eye images, and the high resolution added images can be arranged in various different ways (Fig. 13), it is noted Haskell et al differs from the present invention in that it fails to particularly disclose the images are arranged in 1/3 portion of the image as specified in claims 4-6. However, since there is no particular advantages in arranging the 3 images in any particular sequence in the multiplexed high resolution image, it is considered obvious that one of ordinary skill in the art, having knowledge of different arrangements as taught by Haskell et al, would have had no difficulty in arranging the 3 images in 1/3 portions in any desire order, horizontally, or vertically, in order to form a pair of high resolution stereoscopic images.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7334. The examiner can normally be reached on (571) 272-7334.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lee

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621